



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/796,853

03/08/2004

Aaron Gorga

10759-00175

2447

7590
Patrick W. Rasche
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
Suite 2600
One Metropolitan Square
St. Louis, MO 63102

07/01/2008

EXAMINER

WEAVER, SUE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3781

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/796,853	Applicant(s) GORGA ET AL.	
	Examiner Sue A. Weaver	Art Unit 3781	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-7 and 9-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It isn't clear how the towing arm is related to the luggage and wheels such that the principal dimension of the grip is disposed in the positions claimed when retracted in claims 1,9,28 and 29. Moreover it isn't clear what the retracted and extend position are related to in claims 1 and 9.

Besides not having any structure to pivot on at least two axes it is noted that no connection has been set forth between the pole and luggage. Even though the handle is connected to the pole it is set forth as an isolated element and without any orientation to the wheels to permit the handle to assume the position in the retracted state as is being claimed.

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-29 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu '055 and Lu '053 in view of Chang '146 and Maloney '925, all of record.

3. The handle of Lu has three pivot axes in that runs through member 5 , one at the pivot pin 211 of member 3 which is parallel to the one through member 4 and a perpendicular axis through member 2, as claimed in claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 13, 14, 26 and 27. Figure 5 of Lu shows the generally parallel relationship between the intermediate arm and grip which also permits the grip to assume the parallel relationship with the

luggage top. Members 212 permit the handle to assume the perpendicular relationship with the wheel axis. .

To have provided a recess in the top face to secure the pivoting handle with the major dimension optionally oriented perpendicular to the rear face and axis of the wheels would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Chang at 13. To have further disposed the recess such that the grip lies coplanar or parallel with the top face so that items might be stacked on the top face would have been obvious in view of Maloney.

4. Claims 1-6, 28 and 29, insofar as they are complete are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al '089 in view of Chang '146 and Maloney '925, all of record

Lin et al teach the provision of a towing handle on a telescoping arm which has two pivot axes one through 210D and one perpendicular through 40, as claimed. To have provided a recess in the top surface of the case to receive the handle in the retracted position such that it lies perpendicular to the axis of rotation of the wheels would have been obvious in view of the teaching by Chang at 13. To have formed the recess such that the grip lies parallel to the top face so that other luggage might sit on the top face, would have been obvious in view of Maloney

5. Claims 1-5, 7, 28 and 29 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontana '005 in view of Krenzel '396, of record.

6. Fontana teaches a generally parallelepiped luggage with wheels at 11 and a telescoping handle at 14 with a grip at 15. The seat 12 defines a recess at the roof or top

of the luggage which receives the handle and grip into the retracted position and perpendicular to the axis of the wheels. Member 18 can be considered to be a towing arm with a pole having a distal end at 17 and proximal end at 19 and is arranged to move from a retracted position at Figure 5 to an extended position with the handle and grip exposed as shown in Figure 1. While tie pivot pin 16 only defines one pivot axis, Krenzel teaches an alternate to a hinge pin by providing a ball joint providing a plurality of degrees or axes of freedom. To have alternately used a ball joint connection providing more degrees of movement so that the luggage isn't running into the back of the person pulling it would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Krenzel. Note the telescoping at 20 and 23 of Fontana

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue Lin et al '109 when the rejection used in et al '089. Moreover the discussion in paragraph 36 is directed to handle position for walking beside the luggage. Lin et al clearly teach perpendicular axes as shown in Figures 2 and 3 and the handle is capable of the retracted orientation claimed and as taught by Chang at 13 where the handle can be either parallel or perpendicular in orientation. Note the orientation of the wheels in the position of use in Figure 7 as well as the zipper of Chang. Note further the position of the same zipper and the recess of 13 in the seat 12 with the handle retracted in figure 2 and the principal dimension therefore inherently disposed in the direction of motion and therefore perpendicular to the wheel axis.
8. Claim 8 as been canceled.

9. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____.

(Date)

Art Unit: 3781

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the
United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () _____ - _____ on

_____.

(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (5:30-4).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor is Anthony Stashick_. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sue A. Weaver/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781